| 1 | MONIQUE C. WINKLER (Cal. Bar No. 213031) | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | winklerm@sec.gov SUSAN F. LAMARCA (Cal. Bar No. 215231) | |
| | lamarcas@sec.gov | |
| 3 | ELENA RO (Cal. Bar No. 197308) roe@sec.gov | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800 | |
| 6 | San Francisco, CA 94104 | |
| 7 | (415) 705-2500 (Telephone) (415) 705-2501 (Facsimile) | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | UNITED STATES DIST | RICT COURT |
| 12 | NORTHERN DISTRICT O | F CALIFORNIA |
| 13 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, | Case No. 4:22-cv-01967-JST |
| 16 | Plaintiff, | |
| 17 | VS. | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 18 | HARI PRASAD SURE, | REGARDING STAY |
| 19 | LOKESH LAGUDU, CHOTU PRABHU TEJ PULAGAM, | |
| | DILEEP KUMAR REDDY KAMUJULA, | |
| 20 | SAI MOUNIKA NEKKALAPUDI, ABHISHEK DHARMAPURIKAR, and | |
| 21 | CHETAN PRABHU SREE KARTEEK PULAGAM, | |
| 22 | Defendants. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | STIPULATION | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and Defendants Dileep Kumar | |
| 3 | Reddy Kamujula, Hari Prasad Sure, Lokesh Lagudu, Chotu Prabhu Tej Pulagam, Sai Mounika | |
| 4 | Nekkalapudi, Abhishek Dharmapurikar, and Chetan Prabhu Sree Karteek Pulagam (together, "the | |
| 5 | Parties") hereby Stipulate and agree as follows: | |
| 6 | WHEREAS, a related criminal case, <i>United States v. Kamujula</i> , No. 4:22-CR-0131-JST, i | |
| 7 | currently pending before this Court; | |
| 8 | WHEREAS, the Court has issued a Scheduling Order setting the Initial Case Management | |
| 9 | Conference for July 5, 2022, with the Case Management Statement from the parties due June 28, | |
| 10 | 2022, and by prior Stipulation response to the complaint are due on June 27, 2022; | |
| 11 | WHEREAS, counsel for Kamujula, who is also party to the criminal case, has requested | |
| 12 | that the SEC's counsel agree to a stay of this case while the criminal case is pending, and SEC | |
| 13 | counsel has also contacted the Assistant United States Attorney representing the government in the | |
| 14 | related case, who agrees that a stay of the SEC's case would be beneficial to the criminal case; | |
| 15 | WHEREAS, the SEC and defendants Sure, Lagudu, Chotu Pulagam, Nekkalapudi, | |
| 16 | Dharmapurikar, and Chetan Pulagam do not object to the requested stay; | |
| 17 | NOW THEREFORE THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE, and respectfully | |
| 18 | request that the Court enter, the attached Proposed Order, staying this case through Jan. 31, 2023, | |
| 19 | with the potential to be increased an additional six months at the request of any party to this case, | |
| 20 | but expiring in any event at the conclusion of trial in the related criminal case. Once the stay is | |
| 21 | lifted, the parties will return to the Court to propose a schedule for this case. | |
| 22 | STIPULATED AND AGREED TO BY: | |
| 23 | DATED: May 31, 2022 /s/ Susan F. LaMarca | |
| 24 | Susan F. LaMarca Attorney for Plaintiff | |
| 25 | Securities and Exchange Commission | |
| 26 | /s/ Julia Jayne | |
| 27 | Julia Jayne Attorney for Defendant | |
| 28 | Dileep Kamujula | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | /s/ Carleen R. Arlidge |
| 3 | Carleen R. Arlidge Attorney for Defendant |
| 4 | Sai Mounika Nekkalapudi |
| 5 | /s/ W. Douglas Sprague |
| 6 | W. Douglas Sprague Attorney for Defendant |
| 7 | Chetan Pulagam |
| 8 | /s/ Thomas F. Carlucci |
| 9 | Thomas F. Carlucci Attorney for Defendant |
| 10 | Hari Sure |
| 11 | /s/ David R. Callaway |
| 12 | David R. Callaway Attorney for Defendant |
| 13 | Lokesh Lagudu |
| 14 | /s/ Naomi Chung |
| 15 | Naomi Chung Attorney for Defendant |
| 16 | Chotu Pulagam |
| 17 | /s/ Jeffrey Feldman |
| 18 | Jeffrey Feldman Attorney for Defendant |
| 19 | Abhishek Dharmapurikar |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| 1 | [PROPOSED] ORDER | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Based upon the foregoing Stipulation of the Parties, and good cause appearing | |
| 3 | therefrom: | |
| 4 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is stayed through Jan. 31, 2023. Any party | |
| 5 | may request that the stay be extended an additional six months if trial in the related criminal | |
| 6 | case has not concluded. | |
| 7 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this stay will expire in any event at the conclusion of | |
| 8 | trial in the related criminal case. | |
| 9 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that once the stay is lifted, the parties shall return to the | |
| 10 | Court within 30 days to propose a schedule for this case in the form of a Case Management | |
| 11 | Statement. | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | DATED: June 1, 2022 | |
| 18 | United States District Judge | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |